



APPLICATION NO.

10/734,061

23389

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

12/11/2003 Yoshitsugu Kitada 17285 9012

04/05/2006 EXAMINER

T MURPHY & PRESSER, PC HONG, HARRY S

SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

2614

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/734,061	KITADA, YOSHITSUGU	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Harry S. Hong	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2005.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	action is non-final.		
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.			
·				
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
۵/2	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice (3) Inform				

Application/Control Number: 10/734,061 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the operation buttons" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 7-14 depend from claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,061

Art Unit: 2614

6. Claims 1-7, 9-12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Satoh et al. (Satoh; US 2004/0087353 A1; cited and applied for the first time).

Regarding claim 1, Satoh shows a foldable phone (FIG. 1) comprising:

A foldable body (plainly shown);

A main operation unit (plainly shown);

A main display (103);

A sub-display (104) viewable in a folded state (fig. 1b);

Communication controlling means for sending email positioned for operation when the telephone is in a folded state (see *inter alia* Abstract);

The main operation unit and the main display are on inner sides of the body (plainly shown); and

The sub-display (4) displays the electronic data retained in the phone, where email can be sent when the telephone is in a folded state by operating the communication controlling means (plainly taught).

Regarding claims 2-6 and 15-17, Satoh shows:

The communication controlling means includes a plurality of buttons (107);

The buttons (107) are around the sub-display (104);

The buttons (105) are on a side surface of the body of the portable telephone;

The shapes of the buttons (105, 107) differ according to functions;

The electronic data may be data to be downloaded from a Web site, or data to be uploaded to a Web site (see *inter alia* Abstract; Internet);

Art Unit: 2614

The sub-display (104) is arranged at a part that comes to an outer side in the folded state (FIG. 1);

Further communication controlling means (via MODE SELECTION KEY 105 and SUB OPERATION KEY 107); and

Operated in a state that the body of the portable telephone is folded, and performs controlling for displaying on the sub-display the electronic data retained in the portable telephone and controlling of communications of the electronic data displayed on the sub-display (plainly taught).

Regarding claims 7, 9-12 and 14, Satoh further shows:

The buttons (105, 107) that can be used to select/register mail, schedule and for other functions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2614

9. Claims 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh et al. as applied above in view of Makela et al. (Makela; US 6501967 B1; previously cited and applied).

Regarding claims 8 and 13, Satoh shows the buttons and their various functions. Satoh differs from the claimed invention in that it does not show buttons that plays a ring tone. However, Makela teaches providing buttons that play a ring tone (see Abstract). Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Satoh with buttons which play a ring tone as taught by Makela, such that ring tone can be programmed and reproduce according to the need of the user (see Abstract in Makela).

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,061 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7485. The examiner is normally off on Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry S. Hong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

March 30, 2006